

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/642,917	08/19/2000	Shiri Kadambi	108339-09055	8677
32294	7590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			VANDERPUYE, KENNETH N	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2661	4
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/642,917	KADAMBI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenneth N Vanderpuye	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication. J days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	P P					
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the a	•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed.					
, , ,	Claim(s) <u>13,24 and 36-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>14-23,25-35 and 40-42</u> is/ard						
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restricti Application Papers	ion and/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any obje						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approved b) c	lisapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority of 	documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received in A	Application No				
	of the priority documents have been national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). na for a list of the certified copies not					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign lang 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 						
Attachment(s)		·				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa 	TO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim s 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36 recites the limitation "the plurality of clustered <u>building</u> blocks" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2661

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High

Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the

reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the

prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to

the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 13, 24, 36, 37, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ma (6,157,643).

With regards to claim 13, 24, Ma teaches a scalable network switch (Fig. 2), said scalable network switch comprising a predetermined number of switch building blocks interconnected in a meshed configuration (Fig. 2), wherein at least one of said predetermined number of switch building blocks comprises:

at least one data port interface(Fig. 2, SE1) supporting a plurality of ports for transmitting and receiving data, and a predetermined number of stack link interfaces(SE1 has links connecting it to SE2 blocks) configured to transmit data between one of said predetermined number of building

Art Unit: 2661

blocks and another of said predetermined number of building blocks(Fig. 2, SE1 uses the links to transmit data to SE2).

With regards to claim 36, Ma teaches a method of stacking network switches, said method comprising:

providing a plurality of clustered switch blocks (Fig. 2), and interconnecting each of said plurality of clustered switch blocks(Fig. 2, interconnecting links), wherein interconnection of the plurality of clustered building blocks forms a stack of clustered blocks(Fig. 2, multistage switch elements forms clustered blocks).

Claim 37 is rejected because Fig. 2, 8 of Ma is an example of a meshed configuration. Each block is connected to every other block.

Claim 39 is rejected because Ma teaches a method for handling packets (ATM) in network switch, said method comprising the steps of:

receiving a packet in a clustered network switch; (col. 4 lines 34-39) determining a destination address of the packet from a lookup operation in a common table (necessarily present because to switch need to know which output to direct the packet); and forwarding the packet to the destination address determined from the lookup operation. (Fig. 2, the

Art Unit: 2661

output element to which a packet is directed is dependent on the destination address in the packet).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Claims 14-23, 25-35, 40-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth N Vanderpuye whose telephone number is 703-308-7828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:30-5:00) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Olms can be reached on 703-305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Art Unit: 2661

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER

KNV October 31, 2003